



Lewis v. AMCOL Systems, Inc. et al

2016 | Cited 0 times | S.D. California | June 29, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORDAN LEWIS,

Plaintiff, v. AMCOL SYSTEMS, INC. AND PALOMAR HEALTH,

Defendants.

Case No.: 16cv625-JLS (BLM) ORDER FOLLOWING TELEPHONIC EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION CONFERENCE SETTING FOLLOW-UP SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

On June 29, 2016, the Court conducted a telephonic Early Neutral Evaluation Conference. Based on the settlement discussions, the Court declines to open discovery and sets a follow-up Settlement Conference for August 4, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Barbara L. Major located at 333 West Broadway, Suite 1110, San Diego, CA 92101. All discussions at the Settlement Conference will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential. Counsel for any non-English speaking party is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.

a. Personal Appearance of Parties Required: All parties, adjusters for insured defendants, and other representatives of a party having full and complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, as well as the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation, must be present in person and legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case.

Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and may also result in the immediate termination of the conference.

Unless there is good cause, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from personal attendance. Requests for excuse from attendance for good cause shall be made in writing at least three (3) court days prior to the conference. Failure to appear in person at the Settlement Conference will be grounds for sanctions.

b. Full Settlement Authority Required: In addition to counsel who will try the case, a party or party representative with full settlement authority 1



Lewis v. AMCOL Systems, Inc. et al

2016 | Cited 0 times | S.D. California | June 29, 2016

must be present for the conference. In the case of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount up to the amount of Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the conference without consulting a superior. Counsel for a government entity may be excused from this requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the Settlement Conference (1) has primary responsibility for handling the case, and (2) may negotiate settlement offers which the attorney is willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.

c. Confidential Settlement Statements Required: No later than July 25, 2016, the parties shall submit directly to Magistrate Judge Major's chambers (via hand delivery or email address) confidential settlement statements no more than ten (10) pages in

1 means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to explore settlement options fully and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. *Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp.*, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1991) (unfe to change the settlement position of a party. *Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc.*, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference contemplates that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. *Id.* at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. See *Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

length. These confidential statements shall not be filed or served on opposing counsel. identify controlling legal issues, concisely set out issues of liability and damages, and shall set

including any previous settlement negotiations, mediation sessions, or mediation efforts, the last offer or demand made by that party, and a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the settlement conference. If a specific demand or offer for settlement cannot be made at the time the brief is submitted, then the reasons therefore must be stated along with a statement as to when the party will be in a position to state a demand or make an offer.

General statements that a party will "negotiate in good faith" is not a specific demand or offer contemplated by this Order. It is assumed that all parties will negotiate in good faith.

d. Requests to Continue a Settlement Conference: Any request to continue the Settlement Conference or request for relief from any of the provisions or requirements of this Order must be sought by a written ex parte application. The application must (1) be supported by a declaration of counsel setting forth the reasons and justifications for the relief requested, (2) confirm compliance with Civil Local Rule 83.3(h), and (3) report the position of opposing counsel or any unrepresented parties subject to the Order. Absent good cause, requests for continuances will not be considered



Lewis v. AMCOL Systems, Inc. et al

2016 | Cited 0 times | S.D. California | June 29, 2016

unless submitted in writing no fewer than (7) days prior to the scheduled conference.

If the case is settled in its entirety before the scheduled date of the conference, counsel and any unrepresented parties must still appear in person, unless a written joint notice confirming the complete settlement of the case is filed no fewer than twenty-four (24) hours before the scheduled conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 6/29/2016

