



Ingram v. Booth

2024 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | May 15, 2024

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Clifton Ingram,

Plaintiff, v. Sgt. Booth,

Defendant.

No. 23-CV-699 (KMM/JFD)

ORDER

On November 2, 2023, United States Magistrate Judge John F. Docherty issued a jurisdiction over this case and recommending that the action be dismissed without

prejudice. R&R (Doc. 16). Plaintiff Clifton Ingram had fourteen days from the date the R&R was issued to file objections to the R&R. Mr. Ingram did not object within that fourteen-day window, and he has not filed any objections since.

Although the Court reviews de novo any portion of an R&R to which specific objections are made, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Minn. LR 72.2(b), in the absence of objections, the Court reviews the R&R for clear error, *Nur v. Olmsted County*, 563 F. Supp. 3d 946, 949 (D. Minn. 2021) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) and *Grinder v. Gammon*, 73 F.3d 793, 795 (8th Cir. 1996) (per curiam)). entire record of this proceeding, the Court finds no error in the the recommendation that this matter be dismissed without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 16) is ACCEPTED r Violation of Civil Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Doc. 1) is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for lack of jurisdiction. Let Judgment Be Entered Accordingly. Date: May 15, 2024 s/ Katherine M. Menendez Katherine M. Menendez United States District Judge

