



## **Kapacs v. City of Minneapolis, The et al**

2017 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | December 13, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

MARGOTS KAPACS,

Plaintiff, v. CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS; INSPECTOR SCOTT MANN; INSPECTOR KELLY UMHOEFER; INSPECTOR BRIAN YOUNG; INSPECTOR STEPHEN UNKNOWN; UNKNOWN SUPERVISOR OF INSPECTIONS; and CITY ATTORNEY LEE WOLF, in their individual and official capacities,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-CV-2291 (SRN/FLN)

### ORDER

Plaintiff Margots Kapacs requests in forma pauperis (“IFP”) status on appeal from the denial of his motion for a preliminary injunction and motion for legal representation. See ECF No. 79. A litigant granted IFP status before the district court may proceed IFP on appeal without further authorization, unless (1) the district court finds that the appeal is not taken in good faith or (2) a statute otherwise precludes IFP status. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). Kapacs was previously granted IFP status before this Court, see ECF No. 14, and the Court declines to certify that his appeal is taken not in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1); *Slaughter v. City of Maplewood*, 731 F.2d 587, 588 (8th Cir. 1984). Accordingly, Kapacs may proceed IFP on appeal without further authorization. Nevertheless, to make clear that Kapacs may proceed IFP on appeal, the Court will grant his IFP application.

ORDER Based on the foregoing, and on all of the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the application to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal of plaintiff Margots Kapacs [ECF No. 79] is GRANTED. Dated: December 13, 2017 s/Susan Richard Nelson

SUSAN RICHARD NELSON United States District Judge

