



## SHAFFER v. JOHNSON

2005 | Cited 0 times | W.D. Virginia | November 16, 2005

### MEMORANDUM OPINION

Chad Robert Shaffer, a Virginia inmate proceeding with counsel, filed this action as a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Shaffer challenges the validity of his convictions in the Circuit Court for the County of Franklin. For the following reasons, Shaffer's petition is untimely and must be dismissed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.<sup>1</sup>

### BACKGROUND

On September 22, 2000, Shaffer was convicted of attempted carnal knowledge and object sexual penetration. Shaffer was sentenced to a total term of imprisonment of twenty years, with twelve years suspended. Shaffer's convictions were affirmed by the Court of Appeals of Virginia on December 4, 2001. Shaffer then filed a petition for appeal in the Supreme Court of Virginia. On July 23, 2002, the petition for appeal was refused. Shaffer did not file a petition for writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court.

On February 5, 2003, Shaffer filed a state habeas petition in the Circuit Court for the County of Franklin. The petition was dismissed on March 2, 2004. Shaffer appealed the dismissal of the petition to the Supreme Court of Virginia on June 1, 2004. The Supreme Court refused Shaffer's petition for appeal on October 13, 2004.

Shaffer filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, on October 12, 2005. On October 18, 2005, the court conditionally filed the petition and advised Shaffer that the petition appeared to be untimely. The court directed Shaffer to submit any additional argument and/or evidence concerning the timeliness of his petition within twenty days. Shaffer filed a response to the conditional filing order on November 7, 2005. The petition is now ripe for review.

### DISCUSSION

A one-year period of limitation applies to habeas petitions filed under § 2254. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). Generally, the one-year period runs from the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking direct review. See § 2244(d)(1)(A).<sup>2</sup> However, pursuant to § 2244(d)(2), the one-year period of limitation is tolled while an inmate's "properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review" is "pending." 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2).



## SHAFFER v. JOHNSON

2005 | Cited 0 times | W.D. Virginia | November 16, 2005

In this case, Shaffer appealed his convictions to the Supreme Court of Virginia. The Supreme Court of Virginia refused Shaffer's petition for appeal on July 23, 2002. Shaffer's convictions became final ninety days later on October 21, 2002, when the time to file a petition for writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court expired. See Sup. Ct. R. 13(1); *Griffith v. Kentucky*, 479 U.S. 314, 321 n. 6 (1987). Accordingly, the one-year period of limitation under § 2244(d)(1) began to run on that date.<sup>3</sup>

One hundred and seven days later, on February 5, 2003, Shaffer filed a state habeas petition in the Circuit Court for the County of Franklin. Shaffer's state petition tolled the one-year period of limitation. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2). The one-year period remained tolled until October 13, 2004, the date on which the Supreme Court of Virginia refused Shaffer's petition for appeal.<sup>4</sup> See *Taylor v. Lee*, 186 F.3d 557, 561 (4th Cir. 1999). At this point, the clock began running again on the one-year period of limitation, and the period expired 258 days later, on June 28, 2005. Shaffer did not file the instant petition until October 12, 2005, more than three months after the one-year period had expired. Therefore, the petition is time-barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). Since Shaffer has not demonstrated any grounds for equitable tolling, the petition must be dismissed. The Clerk is directed to send certified copies of this opinion and the accompanying order to the petitioner and all counsel of record.

