



Delashaw v. Seattle Times Company et al

2020 | Cited 0 times | W.D. Washington | June 8, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE

JOHNNY B. DELASHAW, JR., Plaintiff, v. SEATTLE TIMES COMPANY, et al., Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-0537JLR ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

On June 4, 2020, counsel for Plaintiff Johnny B. Delashaw, Jr. and Defendant Seattle Times Company (“the Times”) contacted chambers and requested a telephonic conference to resolve two discovery disputes pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(i). See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(i). The Times brings a dispute regarding the scope of a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6). Mr. Delashaw brings a dispute regarding what he contends is a late production of documents from the Times that warrants a variety of forms of relief. The court concludes that these disputes are not suitable for resolution via short letter briefs and the telephonic conference process provided by Local Civil Rule 7(i) without additional briefing. Accordingly, the court declines to set a telephonic conference at this time. Nevertheless, given the rapidly approaching discovery deadline, which the court has already extended, the court ORDERS the parties to comply with the following expedited briefing schedule to resolve the parties’ disputes:

Dr. Delashaw’s Motion The Times’ Response Dr. Delashaw’s Reply, If

Any, and Noting Date June 12, 2020 June 17, 2020 June 19, 2020 The Times’ Motion Dr. Delashaw’s Response The Times’ Reply, If Any,

and Noting Date June 12, 2020 June 17, 2020 June 19, 2020

Apart from the expedited schedule the court orders herein, the parties’ submissions shall otherwise comply in full with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules, including the page limits provided by Local Civil Rule 7(e). See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(e).

Dated this 8th day of June, 2020.

A JAMES L. ROBERT United States District Judge

