

## Grinnell v. Swiggum et al

2020 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | April 21, 2020

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Based upon the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois, and after an independent review of the files, records and proceedings in the above-entitled matter, IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Sterns County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, [Docket No. 11], is GRANTED; 2. Plaintiff's claims as alleged against the Sterns County Defendants is DISMISSED without

prejudice; 3. The Judicial Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, [Docket No. 20], is GRANTED; 4. Plaintiff's claims as alleged against the Judicial Defendants is DISMISSED without

prejudice; 5. The Sauk Rapids Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, [Docket No. 31], is GRANTED; 6. Plaintiff's claims as alleged against the Sauk Rapids Defendants is DISMISSED without

prejudice; 7. Defendant Ripplinger's Motion to Dismiss, [Docket No. 38], is GRANTED; 8. Plaintiff's claims as alleged against Defendant Ripplinger is DISMISSED without

prejudice; and 9. Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety be DISMISSED without prejudice. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

DATED: 4/21/20 s/Patrick J. Schiltz\_\_\_\_\_ At Minneapolis, Minnesota Patrick J. Schiltz, Judge U n i t e d S t a t e s D i s t r i c t C o u r t