

State v. Sturgill

2024-Ohio-3235 (2024) | Cited 0 times | Ohio Court of Appeals | August 26, 2024

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO **BUTLER COUNTY** STATE OF OHIO, Appellee, - vs -STEVEN DWAYNE STURGILL, Appellant.: : CASE NO. CA2024-04-054 D E C I S I O N 8/26/2024 CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM BUTLER COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. CR2023-08-1254 Michael T. Gmoser, Butler County Prosecuting Attorney, and John Heinkel, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

Christopher Bazeley, for appellant.

State v. Sturgill

2024-Ohio-3235 (2024) | Cited 0 times | Ohio Court of Appeals | August 26, 2024

Per Curiam.

{\$\\$1}\$ This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal filed by appellant, Steven Dwayne Sturgill, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Butler County Court of Common Pleas, and upon the briefs. {\$\\$2}\$ Appellant's counsel has filed a brief with this court pursuant to Anders v.

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," Anders at 744; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

S. POWELL, P.J., M. POWELL and BYRNE, JJ., concur.