

Adams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles

2018 | Cited 0 times | Connecticut Appellate Court | May 22, 2018

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the advance release version of an opinion and the latest version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest version is to be considered authoritative.

VEHICLES (AC 40272) Alvord, Keller and Bishop, Js. Syllabus The plaintiff, who had been charged with the crime of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs in violation of statute (§14-227a), appealed to the trial court from the decision by the defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles suspending his motor vehicle operator's license for forty-five days, pursuant to statute (§14-227b [g]), for his refusal to submit to a urine test to deter- mine his blood alcohol content. The trial court rendered judgment dis- missing the appeal, from which the plaintiff appealed to this court, challenging the findings of the hearing officer that there was probable cause for his arrest, that he refused to submit to chemical testing or analysis and that he had been operating a motor vehicle. Held that the

judgmentofthetrialcourtdismissingtheplaintiff'sappealwasaffirmed; thetrialcourt havingthoroughlyaddressedthearguments raisedinthis appeal, this court adopted the well reasoned and clearly articulated decision of the trial court as the opinion of this court. Argued January 22Dofficially released May 22, 2018 Procedural History Appeal from the decision by the defendant sus-pendingtheplaintiff'smotorvehicleoperator'slicense, brought to the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Britain and tried to the court, Huddleston, J.; judgment dismissing the appeal, from which the plain- tiff appealed to this court. Affirmed. Jonathan Ross Sills, for the appellant (plaintiff). Christine Jean-Louis, assistant attorney general, with whom, on the brief, was George Jepsen, attorney general, for the appellee (defendant). Opinion

Adams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles

2018 | Cited 0 times | Connecticut Appellate Court | May 22, 2018

PERCURIAM. The plaintiff, Nicholas Adams, appeals from the judgment of the trial court rendered in favor of the defendant, the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (commissioner), dismissing his appeal from the deci- sion of the commissioner to suspend his motor vehicle operator's license, pursuant to General Statutes §14- 227b, 1 forforty-fived ay sandrequiring an ignition inter-

lockdeviceinhismotorvehicleforoneyear. Onappeal, the plaintiff claims that the court erred in finding that (1) he was operating a motor vehicle; (2) he refused to submit to chemical testing; and (3) the police had probable cause to arrest him for operating under the

influenceinviolationofGeneralStatutes§14-227a. 2 We affirm the judgment of the trial court. The following facts and procedural history are rele- vant to this appeal. On May 14, 2016, the plaintiff was arrestedandchargedwithoperatingundertheinfluence ofliquorordrugsinviolationof§14-227a. 3 Theplaintiff submitted to a Breathalyzer test, but refused a urine test. As a result of this refusal, and in accordance with §14-227b, the plaintiff's motor vehicle operator's license was suspended by the Department of Motor Vehicles(department)forforty-fivedays,effectiveJune 13, 2016, and he was required to install and maintain an ignition interlock device in his vehicle for one year thereafter. Subsequently, the plaintiff requested, and was granted,anadministrativehearingtocontestthelicense suspension. The administrative hearing was held on

June8,2016, before a department hearing of ficer, acting

onbehalfofthecommissioner. Thehearing officerren- dered a decision the same day as the hearing, ordering the suspension of the plaintiff's motor vehicle opera- tor's license or operating privilege for forty-five days and the installation of an ignition interlock device for one year thereafter. On June 17, 2016, the plaintiff filed an appeal in the Superior Court pursuant to General Statutes §4-183, challenging the findings of the hearing officer that (1) there was probable cause to arrest him for operating a motorvehiclewhileundertheinfluenceofintoxicating liquor or any drug or both; (2) he refused to submit to achemicaltestingoranalysis;and(3)hewasoperating the motor vehicle. A one day trial took place before the court on December 1, 2016. On March 7, 2017, the courtdismissedtheplaintiff sappealandrenderedjudg- ment in favor of the commissioner. This appeal followed. Having carefully reviewed the record, the briefs sub- mitted by the parties, and applicable law, we find no errorinthetrialcourt sdetermination. Accordingly, we adopt the well reasoned and clearly articulated decision of the trial court, en toto, as the opinion of this

court. See Adams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, Supe- rior Court, judicial district of New Britain, Docket No. CV-16-6033742-S(March7,2017)(reprintedat182Conn. App. 169); see also Samakaab v. Dept. of Social Ser- vices, 178 Conn. App. 52, 54, 173 A.3d 1004 (2017). The judgment is affirmed. 1 General Statutes §14-227b is commonly referred to as the implied con- sent statute. Santiago v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, 134 Conn. App. 668, 674, 39 A.3d 1224 (2012). Section§14-227bprovidesinrelevantpart: ``(a)Anypersonwhooperates a motor vehicle in this state shall be deemed to have given such person's consent to a chemical analysis of such person's blood, breath or urine and, if such person is a minor, such person's parent or parents or guardian shall also be deemed to have given their consent. . . . ``(c) If the person arrested refuses to submit to such test or analysis . . . the police officer, acting on behalf of the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, shallimmediatelyrevokeandtakepossessionofthemotorvehicleoperator's license The police officer shall prepare a report of the incident and shall mail or otherwise transmit in accordance with this

Adams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles

2018 | Cited 0 times | Connecticut Appellate Court | May 22, 2018

subsection the report and a copy of the results of any chemical test or analysis to the Department of Motor Vehicles within three business days. . . . ``(e) (1) . . . [T]he Commissioner of Motor Vehicles may suspend any operator slicenseornonresident operating privilege of such persone ffective as of a date certain, which date shall be not later than thirty days after the

datesuchpersonreceivednoticeofsuchperson's arrest bythe policeofficer. Any person whose operator's licenseor nonresident operating privilege has been suspended in accordance with this subdivision shall automatically be entitled to a hearing before the commissioner to be held in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54 and prior to the effective date of the suspension. The commissioner shall send a suspension notice to such person informing such person that such person's operator's license or nonresident operating privilege is suspended as of a date certain and that such person is entitled to a hearing prior to the effective date of the suspension and may schedule such hearing by contacting the Department of Motor Vehicles not later than seven days after the date of mailing of such suspension notice.'' 2 General Statutes §14-227a(a) provides in relevant part: ``No person shall operate a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or

anydrugorboth. Aperson commits the offense of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug or both if such

personoperatesamotorvehicle(1)whileundertheinfluenceofintoxicating liquor or any drug or both, or (2) while such person has an elevated blood alcohol content. ' 3 The plaintiff also was charged with evading responsibility in violation

of General Statutes §14-224(a) and (b), and failure to driver ight inviolation of General Statutes §14-230. Those charges are not at issue in this appeal.