



## **Alloyd Co. v. Gustafson**

1993 | Cited 0 times | Seventh Circuit | June 11, 1993

This matter comes before the court for its consideration of the following documents:

1. MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT AND REMAND TO DISTRICT COURT filed herein on 5/19/93, by counsel for the appellants.
2. DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT AND REMAND TO DISTRICT COURT filed herein on 5/28/93, by counsel.
3. PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' MOTIONS TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO VACATE AND REMAND filed herein on 6/2/93, by counsel.

On consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED that the Plaintiffs-Appellants' Motion to File Reply in Support of their Motion to Vacate and Remand is DENIED as unnecessary. The judgment of the lower court is VACATED and the this case is REMANDED to the district court for further proceedings in light of this court's opinion in Pacific Dunlop Holdings, Inc. v. Allen & Co., Inc., 993 F.2d 578 (7th Cir. 1993).

