

# Edwards v. Schnell et al

2020 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | August 4, 2020

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Anthony Lavelle Edwards, Plaintiff, v. Paul Schnell, et al., Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-1144 (JRT/DTS)

#### REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In an order dated July 1, 2020, this Court ordered Plaintiff Anthony Lavelle . See Order 3, ECF No. 4 (quoting 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(a)(2) (brackets in Order)). The Court gave Edwards until July 22, 2020, to perform one of these two options, failing which the Court would recommend dismissing this action without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). That deadline has now passed, and Edwards has not provided a corrected Accordingly, this Court now recommends, in accordance with its prior order, that this action be dismissed without prejudice under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute. See Henderson v. Renaissance Grand Hotel, 267 F. an action under Rule e to prosecute, or to comply with the

Furthermore, the Court also as moot.

- 2 RECOMMENDATION Based upon the foregoing, and on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED:
- 1. This action be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.
- 2. Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, ECF No. 3, be DENIED as moot.

Dated: August 4, 2020 \_s/David T. Schultz\_\_\_ DAVID T. SCHULTZ U.S. Magistrate Judge

NOTICE Filing Objections: This Report and Recommendation is not an order or judgment of the

# Edwards v. Schnell et al

2020 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | August 4, 2020

District Court and is therefore not appealable directly to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. ma objections within 14 days after being served a copy of the objections. See Local

Rule 72.2(b)(2). All objections and responses must comply with the word or line limits set forth in Local Rule 72.2(c).