

Mitchell et al v. Nye County, Nevada et al

2022 | Cited 0 times | D. Nevada | April 5, 2022

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case No. 2:20-cv-00086-APG-VCF ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE REGARDING DEFENDANTS ZUZANA KUKOL AND

SCOTT SHOEMAKER MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 8337 WEST SUNSET ROAD, SUITE 350

LAS VEGAS, NV 89113

McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP Wade M. Hansard Nevada Bar No. 8104 wade.hansard@mccormickbarstow.com Michael R. Merritt Nevada Bar No. 5720 michael.merritt@mccormickbarstow.com 8337 West Sunset Road, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Telephone: (702) 949-1100 Facsimile: (702) 949-1101 Attorneys for ZUZANA KUKOL and SCOTT SHOEMAKER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

KARL MITCHELL, an individual and KAYLA MITCHELL, an individual,

Plaintiffs, v. NYE COUNTY, NEVADA, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, HARRY WILLIAMS, in his individual and official capacity as an employee of Nye County, SUSAN RYHAL, in her individual and official capacity as an employee of Nye County, SHARON WEHRLY, in her individual and official capacity as an employee of Nye County, ZUZANA KUKOL, an individual and Nye County Agent capacity, SCOTT SHOEMAKER, an individual and Nye County Agent capacity, DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00086-APG-VCF

ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE REGARDING DEFENDANTS ZUZANA KUKOL AND SCOTT SHOEMAKER

The Court having considered Defendant's ZUZANA KUKOL and SCOTT SHOEMAKER's Request

Mitchell et al v. Nye County, Nevada et al

2022 | Cited 0 times | D. Nevada | April 5, 2022

for Entry of Dismissal with Prejudice Regarding Defendants Zuzana Kukol and Scott Shoemaker; and having previously granted Defendants' Motion; and having given the Plaintiffs until December 17, 2021 to file an Amended Complaint correcting the identified deficiencies if facts existed to do so; and further having advised Plaintiffs that failure to file an

 $1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 10\ 11\ 12\ 13\ 14\ 15\ 16\ 17\ 18\ 19\ 20\ 21\ 22\ 23\ 24\ 25\ 26\ 27\ 28$

2

Case No. 2:20-cv-00086-APG-VCF ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE REGARDING DEFENDANTS ZUZANA KUKOL AND

SCOTT SHOEMAKER MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 8337 WEST SUNSET ROAD, SUITE 350

LAS VEGAS, NV 89113

Amended Complaint by said date would result in dismissal with prejudice of the claims that it had dismissed without prejudice; and finding that no such Amended Complaint having been filed and no good cause being shown for failure to do so, hereby states:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED: that Dismissal with Prejudice Regarding the Claims against Defendants Zuzana Kukol and Scott Shoemaker is hereby entered. DATED ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE