



U.S. EEOC v. Elite Wireless Group, Inc.

2021 | Cited 0 times | E.D. California | May 17, 2021

ROBERTA STEELE, SBN 188198 (CA) MARCIA L. MITCHELL, SBN 18122 (WA) DEBRA A. SMITH, SBN 147863 (CA) U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Francisco District Office 450 Golden Gate Ave., 5 th

Floor West, POB 36025 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone No. (415) 522-3034
Debra.Smith@eeoc.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff EEOC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, Plaintiff, vs. ELITE WIRELESS GROUP, INC., Defendant.

Case No.: 2:19-cv-02187-MCE-CKD ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF REQUEST TO REDACT DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING ITION TO 41(b) MOTIONS, AND FOR AN ORDER PROHIBITING PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF NAME IN

Pending before th Opposition to Rule 56 and Rule 41(b) motions. The request seeks to redact the considering the underlying allegations of sexual assault. Permitting the Charging Party to

Accordingly, Plaintiff has shown good cause for its request to redact and its request is GRANTED. In addition, Defendant is ORDERED

The following pleadings and documents may be redacted:

, or in the

Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute;

Declaration of [Charging Party] in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to

Prosecute; Exhibits 5 and 6 to the , or in the Alternative, to

Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute;



U.S. EEOC v. Elite Wireless Group, Inc.

2021 | Cited 0 times | E.D. California | May 17, 2021

D , or in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute; and,

or in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 14, 2021

