



USA v. Yama

2023 | Cited 0 times | E.D. California | August 4, 2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, v. DAVID JOSEPH YAMA,

Defendant.

No. 1:21-cr-00272-ADA-BAM-1

ORDER REQUEST TO SEAL

(ECF No. 36)

On August 2, 2023, Defendant David Joseph Yama filed a request to seal documents pursuant to Local Rule 141. (ECF No. 36.) Though the request does not identify any particular document, Mr. Yama separately emailed the Court a motion and proposed order for a court-appointed psychiatrist. Generally, the public and press have a First Amendment right to access court proceedings and documents. , 920 F.2d 1462, 1465 (9th Cir. 1990). This presumption

Id. (quoting *Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Ct.*, 464 U.S. 501, 510 (1985)). E.D. Cal. R. 141(b). t under the plain language of Rule 141 the request does not describe the documents he seeks to seal or cite to any legal authority. Moreover, Mr. Yama does not demonstrate any compelling reason why sealing is necessary or why redaction would not eliminate the need to seal the entire document. Accordingly, 1. The request to seal, (ECF No. 36), is denied; 2. Mr. Yama may proceed by filing a new request to seal that complies with Rule 141 or file his motion for a court-appointed psychiatrist on the public docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 4, 2023 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

