



Andrews v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage

2020 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | January 3, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ASHEVILLE DIVISION DOCKET NO: 1:19-CV-00338-MOC GLEN ANDREWS, as grantor over the) Estate of Glen Andrews,) Plaintiff,)

vs.) ORDER

WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE,) et al.,) Defendants.) pro se document, titled Arbitration Award and to

(Doc. No. 1 at 1 2).

The document and its attachments are non-sensical. For example, Plaintiff attached a -2 at 2). But just a few lines later, the document states

Id.). Similarly, Plaintiff asserts -2 at 2).

by filin Raplee v. United States, 842 F.3d 328, 333

(4th Cir. 2016). Where a party fails to file a complaint, then the action may be dismissed for failure to comply with the applicable rules of civil procedure. See, e.g., United States v. Hames, 122 F. App x 706, 707 (5th Cir. 2004); Application of Howard, 325 F.2d 917, 920 (3d Cir. 1963); Cowan v. Bullock, No. 15-CV-17, 2015 WL 4218522, at *1 (D. Mont. July 10, 2015); Avillan v. Donahue, No. 12-CV-3370, 2013 WL 12084502, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 15, 2013), aff d, 568 F. App x 73 (2d Cir. 2014); Cochran v. Bank of New York, No. 4:12-CV-0056, 2014 WL 12491998, at *3 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 20, 2014) docume with applicable rules.

E is well- established that district Traywick v. Med. Univ. of S.C., 671 F. App x 85, 86 (4th Cir. 2016) (citing Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 307 08 (1989)); see also Fitzgerald v. First E. Seventh St. Tenants Corp., 221 F.3d 362, 363 (2d Cir. 2000) After reviewing

the document, the Court finds its fantastical assertions have no basis in law or fact. Accordingly,

ORDER IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED document, stylized as a is DISMISSED. Signed: January 3, 2020

