



## Peerless Network, Inc. et al v. AT&T Corp.

2023 | Cited 0 times | S.D. New York | January 5, 2023

BRIAN A. MCALEENAN DIRECT DIAL: +1 312 499 6762 E-MAIL:  
BAMcAleenan@duanemorris.com

[www.duanemorris.com](http://www.duanemorris.com)

DUANE MORRIS LLP 190 SOUTH LASALLE STREET, SUITE 3700 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3433  
PHONE: +1 312 499 6700 FAX: +1 312 499 6701

SHANGHAI ATLANTA BALTIMORE WILMINGTON

MIAMI BOCA RATON PITTSBURGH NEWARK LAS VEGAS CHERRY HILL LAKE TAHOE  
MYANMAR ALLIANCES IN MEXICO

AND SRI LANKA FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES NEW YORK LONDON SINGAPORE  
PHILADELPHIA CHICAGO WASHINGTON, DC SAN FRANCISCO SILICON VALLEY SAN  
DIEGO LOS ANGELES BOSTON HOUSTON DALLAS AUSTIN HANOI HO CHI MINH CITY

December 29, 2022 BY ECF Magistrate Judge Valerie Figueredo Daniel Patrick Moynihan United  
States Courthouse Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

Re: In re Peerless Networks, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.,

Civil Action No. 15-CV-870 (VM)(VF) Dear Magistrate Judge Figueredo:

We write on behalf of AT&T Corp., in response to the Court's Order dated December 8, 2022 (D.E. 215), which directed the parties to submit, by today's date, any proposed redactions to the transcript of the December 2, 2022 oral argument before the Court concerning AT&T's Motion to Exclude Expert Report and Portions of the Declaration of Peerless Expert James D. Webber (D.E. 184, 208, 210, "Argument Transcript"). We have consulted with counsel for Peerless Networks, Inc., and, with counsel's consent, we respectfully write to jointly request redactions of certain items from the Argument Transcript pursuant to, and consistent with, prior Orders entered in this case respecting the confidentiality of materials. (D.E. 28 ¶ 7; D.E. 125 at 2 n.1; D.E. 130; D.E. 212.)

In particular, enclosed herewith is a copy of the Argument Transcript with proposed redactions of certain words on most pages of the Argument Transcript. The intent of each proposed redaction is to



## Peerless Network, Inc. et al v. AT&T Corp.

2023 | Cited 0 times | S.D. New York | January 5, 2023

conceal from the public record certain confidential provisions of the confidential settlement agreement at issue in these post-judgment proceedings. As previously reported to the Court, these confidential settlement provisions reflect and embody highly- sensitive business data, the disclosure of which to third-party competitors could be detrimental to the parties' business. (See, e.g., D.E. 196 at 2.) By contrast, the public can still gain a robust understanding of the legal issues discussed in the Argument transcript based on the un-redacted terms.

Magistrate Judge Valerie Figueredo December 29, 2022 Page 2

For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court make available to the public a copy of the enclosed, redacted Argument Transcript or, alternatively, a similar copy of the Argument Transcript reflecting the same proposed redactions.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this matter and are available to address any questions the Court might have.

Respectfully submitted, /s/ Brian A. McAleenan Brian A. McAleenan cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)  
Carole Ludwig, Court Reporter (via e-mail)

