



State v. Rodriguez

2019 | Cited 0 times | Court of Appeals of Kansas | February 15, 2019

THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 239(d)(2), SCACR

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Anthony Jay Elrod, Appellant.

Appeal From York County G. Thomas Cooper, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2008-UP-308 Submitted June 1, 2008 Filed June 12, 2008

DISMISSED

Deputy Chief Attorney for Capital Appeals Robert M. Dudek, South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Henry Dargan McMaster, Chief Deputy Attorney General John W. McIntosh, Assistant Deputy Attorney General Salley W. Elliott, Office of the Attorney General, all of Columbia; and Solicitor Kevin Scott Brackett, of York, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Anthony Elrod appeals from his guilty plea to escape, contending the circuit court committed reversible error in accepting Elrods plea without obtaining a waiver of his right to confrontation, thereby failing to comply with the mandates of *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238 (1969). After a thorough review of the record and counsels brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Williams*, 305 S.C. 116, 406 S.E.2d 357 (1991), we dismiss Elrods appeal and grant counsels motion to be relieved.[1]

DISMISSED.

HEARN, C.J., CURETON, A.J., and GOOLSBY, A.J., concur.



State v. Rodriguez

2019 | Cited 0 times | Court of Appeals of Kansas | February 15, 2019

[1] We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.

