



## Orso v. Disner et al

2019 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | December 3, 2019

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

CHARLOTTE DIVISION

MATTHEW E. ORSO, in his capacity as court- appointed Receiver for Rex Venture Group, LLC d/b/a ZeekRewards.com, Plaintiff, vs. TODD DISNER, in his individual capacity and in his capacity as trustee for Kestrel Spendthrift Trust; TRUDY GILMOND; TRUDY GILMOND, LLC; JERRY NAPIER; DARREN MILLER; RHONDA GATES; DAVID SORRELLS; INNOVATION MARKETING, LLC; AARON ANDREWS; SHARA ANDREWS; GLOBAL INTERNET FORMULA, INC.; T. LEMONT SILVER; KAREN SILVER; MICHAEL VAN LEEUWEN; DURANT BROCKETT; DAVID KETTNER; MARY KETTNER, P.A.W.S. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC, LORI JEAN WEBER; and a Defendant Class of Net Winners in ZEEKREWARDS.COM; Defendants.

No. 3:14-cv-91

AGAINST DISPUTING NET WINNERS WHO HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO

This matter is before the Court on the Motion of Matthew E. Orso, the Court-appointed Receiver of Rex Venture Group, LLC, for Summary Judgment Against Remaining Defendants Disputing Receiver's Net Winnings Calculations, Dkt. No. 258 The background of this matter has been s the Order

Summary Judgment Against Remaining Named Defendants and Partial Summary Judgment Against the Net Winner Class (Dkt. No. 142); and Order on Process for Determining the Amount of Final Judgments Against Net Winner Class Members (Dkt. No. 153); see also Bell v. Brockett, 922 F.3d 502 (4th Cir. 2019) (affirming the previous three orders).

In the pending Motion, the Receiver seeks entry of summary judgment against one hundred nineteen Net Winners. A Roseboro notice was sent to all Net Winners on October 22, n of time. Ninety-seven of the Net Winners, identified in Exhibit A hereto, have not responded to otion. As to these ninety-seven winners, the Court concludes that, for the um, there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and that the Receiver is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Receiver Motion for Summary Judgment Against Remaining



## Orso v. Disner et al

2019 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | December 3, 2019

Defendants Disputing Receiver's Net Winnings Calculations is GRANTED with respect to the ninety-seven individuals identified in Exhibit A hereto. The Court will address the remaining 22 order.

Signed: December 3, 2019

EXHIBIT A List of Abundant Holdings Wilner Francois Michael Lancto Travis Smith Ouwatoyin Akinwale Teresa Fu Donna M. Legore Reginald Stinson Sam Alderman Anthony Funso Chris Love Richard L. Tarbox William Asamoah Badu

Nkechi Funso Eucharia McClain David N. Tiet Tina Beckles Angelo Gardner Sarah Miday Thuymi To Sue Bennett Jerry Garrison, Jr. Curtis D. Miller Ying Tran Addison Beverly Donnamae Gibson Eybar Molina Dat Trinh Jesse Black Dana Glover Namusisi Nakachwa Donald Tygrett Fred Brauer Rebecca Guynn Mahmoud S. Nassar Elizabeth Vu Paul Broekhuizen Rex Guynn Maria Nguyen Minhhien Vu Sam Carnley Yuehan Han Oanh H. Nguyen Fu Wang Joseph Carrizzo Chuck Hanson Vernon Nugent Jr. Douglas Wescott Laquita Carter Terri Hill Larry Oxenham Frank Wilding Danny M. Chang Xavier Hinojosa Dave Pasquale Julie E. Willis Sumana Chea Michael Humphrey Mike Peebles Catherine Wirth Xiao Xue Chen Olesya Ilyenkova Jim Pinkham Sterling Wirth Lei Cheng Shahid Ivar Amy Ray Minguang Wu Mary M. Chofor Charles Neal James Chris Ripatti Candi Yates Cheryl Cort Shengyi Jiang Bob Risler Li Ci Yau Roxanne K. Cunningham

Roger Johnsrud S.T. Eagle, Inc. Halland Yearwood Kevin Curtis Simarjit Kaur Cooper J. Scott Haiwei Yu Mai Tb Do Anisha Khan Ritza St. Simon Xiaoping Zhu Hank Donig Leng Xor Khang Kuldip Singh Travis Downing Eduard Khemchan Diann Slagle DPS, Inc. Bounlouang Laaw Diane B. Smith

