



**(PC) Daniel Gomez v. Stainer et al**

2015 | Cited 0 times | E.D. California | January 21, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL GOMEZ,

Plaintiff, v. M. D. STAINER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00890 LJO DLB PC ORDER DISMISSING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND DOCKET TO CORRECT NAME OF DEFENDANTS [ECF No. 12] FOR COURT RECORDS [ECF No. 13] Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On June 11, 2014, Plaintiff filed his complaint. On July 9, 2014, he filed a motion to amend the complaint and docket to correct the names of certain Defendants. Plaintiff is advised that the Court has reviewed the docket and determined that it reflects the correct names as stated in his motion. Therefore, the motion is DENIED as moot. On September 22, 2014, citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, Plaintiff filed a motion for production of documents directed at the Court with respect to court records. Plaintiff is advised that a request for production of documents can only be directed at a party or a third party, not the Court. Further, Plaintiff is advised that the Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Here, discovery is not open at this time since the complaint has to open discovery is premature. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: amend the complaint is DENIED; and

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 21, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

