

2024 | Cited 0 times | Colorado Court of Appeals | September 19, 2024

<div><div><div><div id="pdf-container" style="width: 782px"> <div id="pf1" data-page-no="1"> <div> <div> <div> </div> <div> </div> <div> <div> <div> <div> <div> <div> </div> <div> 2024COA103 </div> <div> <div> No. 23CA1114, In re the Marriage of Capparelli â Family Law </div> <div>â Dissolution â Disposition of Property â Property Purchased </div> <div>During the Marriage â Marital Debt â Maintenance </div> <div>In this dissolution of marriage case, husband challenges the </div> <div>district courtâs allocation of property and award of maintenance. A </div> <div>division of the court of appeals concludes that the district court </div> <div>erred when it classified a portion of a jointly titled asset as wifeas </div> <div>separate property and designated a portion of a debt acquired </div> <div>during the marriage as husbandâs separate debt. With respect to </div> <div> the jointly titled asset, the division concludes that although wife </div> resented evidence tracing a portion of the jointly titled asset back </div> <div>to her separate premarital property, she failed to present any </div> evidence beyond tracing that the parties intended for any portion of </div> <div> the jointly titled asset to remain her separate property. Based on </div> </div> <div> The summaries of the Colorado Court of Appeals published opinions </div> <div> constitute no part of the opinion of the division but have been prepared by </div> <div> the division for the convenience of the reader. The summaries may not be </div> <div> cited or relied upon as they are not the official language of the division. </div> <div> Any discrepancy between the language in the summary and in the opinion </div> <div>should be resolved in favor of the language in the opinion. </div> </div> </div> </div</pre> data-data='{"ctm":[1.277778,0.000000,0.000000,1.277778,0.000000,0.000000]}'></div> </div> </div> data-page-no="2"> <div> <div> </div> <div> this, the division concludes that wife failed to overcome, by clear </div> <div>and convincing evidence, the presumption that the jointly titled </div> <div> property is marital property. </div> <div> Based on these errors, the division reverses the district courtâs </div> <div>judgment and remands the case for the district court to reconsider </div> <div>the entire property and debt allocation. And because property </div> <div>division and maintenance are inextricably intertwined, the division </div> <div>also remands the case to the district court for it to reconsider the </div> <div>maintenance award based on the new property and debt allocations </div> <div> and the parties a current economic circumstances. </div> <div> </div> </div> </div> </div> data-data='{"ctm":[1.277778,0.000000,0.000000,1.277778,0.000000,0.000000]}'></div> </div> </div> </div data-page-no="3"> <div> <img alt=""