

## People v. Brockington

2007 NY Slip Op 04305 (2007) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | May 15, 2007

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

WILLIAM F. MASTRO, J.P., FRED T. SANTUCCI, PETER B. SKELOS and THOMAS A. DICKERSON, JJ.

(Ind. No. 2214/03)

**DECISION & ORDER** 

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed.

The defendant contends that he was denied his due process right to a fair trial because the prosecutor and the court failed to timely inform him that the complaining witness had died. The defendant's argument is unpreserved for appellate review (see CPL 470.05[2]; People v Gray, 86 NY2d 10, 19). In any event, in view of the overwhelming evidence of the defendant's guilt, we find that a reversal of the conviction is not warranted (see People v Rice, 69 NY2d 781; People v Crimmins, 36 NY2d 230, 241).

MASTRO, J.P., SANTUCCI, SKELOS and DICKERSON, JJ., concur.