



Ace Motor Acceptance Corporation v. McCoy Motors, LLC et al

2019 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | December 20, 2019

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-CV-00630-KDB

THIS MATTER is before the Court on a show cause order (Doc. No. 60) issued by the why they multiple orders to disclose financial statements reflecting all assets,

all liabilities, all sources of income, and all expenditures made between August 1, 2018 and September 19, 2019 (Doc. No. 40, 48, 60). A hearing was held on this cause order on December 19, 2019 at 2:00 PM. 1

It was attended by the McCoy Defendants, their counsel, office for a second time for criminal prosecution and an investigation into McCoy and Misty

perjurious and fraudulent conduct. 2

1 This was the second show cause hearing held by this Court. 2 The Honorable Robert Conrad previously requested that the United States Attorney for ACE MOTOR ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION,

Plaintiff, v. ORDER MCCOY MOTORS, LLC et al.,

Defendants. Civil and criminal contempt actions are distinguished on the basis of the nature of the relief requested: (1) if the relief is remedial, coercive or compensatory, the contempt is civil; (2) if the relief is punitive (which would be a sentence of imprisonment for a definite period or a punitive monetary penalty in the case of a corporation), then the contempt is criminal. Hicks v. Feiock, 485 U.S. 624, 631 36 (1988); International Union, United Mine Workers of America v. Bagwell, 512

Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has held as follows:

order or to compensate the complainant for losses sustained as a result of the In re General Motors Corp., 61 F.3d 256, 258 (4th Cir. 1995) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Imposition of civil contempt sanctions requires fewer procedural protections than those necessary for the imposition of criminal contempt sanctions. See Bagwell, 512 U.S. 821, 830 31, 114 S. Ct. 2552. For



Ace Motor Acceptance Corporation v. McCoy Motors, LLC et al

2019 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | December 20, 2019

example, unlike a finding of criminal contempt, which must rest on proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, a finding of civil contempt can be established by See *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 288, 301 (4th Cir.2000); 11A Wright, Miller & Kane, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2960, at 380 (2d ed. 1995). Similarly, because they are civil, not criminal, proceedings, the right to counsel is not guaranteed in civil contempt proceedings. *Cromer v. Kraft Foods North America, Inc.*, 390 F.3d 812, 821 (4th Cir. 2004). This Court has considered the Orders by the Bankruptcy Judge, reviewed the record and docket in the Bankruptcy Court, and, after holding a status conference and two show cause hearings, has determined that probable cause exists to prosecute ROBERT McCOY, JR. and MISTY McCOY for criminal contempt. In accordance with Rule 42(a)(2), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court requests that the United States Attorney for the Western District of

contempt. (Doc. No. 3). Rather than prosecute, the United States Attorney chose to place McCoy Jr. under a deferred prosecution agreement. North Carolina prosecute such criminal contempt and investigate any perjurious and fraudulent conduct committed by McCoy Jr. and Misty McCoy. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

(1) The UNITED STATES ATTORNEY for the Western District of North Carolina

is requested, in accordance with Rule 42(a)(2), to prosecute this matter, and, if he declines to do so, to advise the Court not later than JANUARY 9, 2020; (2) Separate and apart from prosecuting the criminal contempt under Rule 42(a)(2), the

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY for the Western District of North Carolina is further requested to conduct a CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION into the allegedly fraudulent and perjurious conduct of Robert McCoy Jr. and Misty McCoy; and (3) NOTICE is hereby given to Robert McCoy Jr. and Misty McCoy as follows:

A charge of Criminal Contempt has been lodged against you as provided and this ; such matter will be tried on March 9, 2020, at 9:30 AM, in the United States Courthouse, Charlotte, N.C. You have a right to be represented by counsel and if you cannot afford counsel the Court will appoint counsel and you have until such date to prepare a defense. You are advised that the essential facts constituting such criminal contempt are contained in the Order of the Bankruptcy Court Finding Certain Defendants in Civil Contempt, (Doc. No. 1-1), the Order and Supplemental Order Recommending Withdrawal of Reference, (Doc. No. 1 2), and the Orders by this Court regarding filing of your financial disclosures (Doc. Nos. 40, 48, 60), documents which accompany this Order. Finally, if you fail to appear as instructed, a warrant will issue for your arrest. The Clerk of Court is instructed to issue a Summons compelling the attendance of Robert McCoy Jr. and Misty McCoy at trial on March 9, 2020, at 9:30 AM, and make a copy of this Order, Judge s (Doc. Nos. 1, 2), and) for service by the United States Marshal. The United States Marshal shall serve a copy of such documents on: ROBERT McCOY Jr. 3606 Highway 51 Fort Mill, SC 29715 MISTY McCOY 11915 John K Hall Way Charlotte, NC 28277 The Clerk of Court shall send a copy of this Order to counsel



Ace Motor Acceptance Corporation v. McCoy Motors, LLC et al

2019 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | December 20, 2019

of record, the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, and to: THE HONORABLE R. ANDREW MURRAY,
Esq. United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina

Signed: December 19, 2019

