

Gholston v. City of Minnesota et al

2022 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | October 25, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Roderic Gholston,

Plaintiff, v. City of Minnesota, Minnesota Police Department, and Andrew Schroeder,

Defendants.

File No. 22-cv-1712 (ECT/DJF)

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT

AND RECOMMENDATION

Magistrate Judge Dulce J. Foster issued a Report and Recommendation on October 3, 2022. ECF No. 8. No party has objected to that Report and Recommendation, and it is therefore reviewed for clear error. See Fed. R. Civ. P. § 72(b); Grinder v. Gammon, 73 F.3d 793, 795 (8th Cir. 1996) (per curiam). Finding no clear error, and based upon all of the files, records, and proceedings in the above-captioned matter, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 8] is ACCEPTED. 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice. 3. Mr. Gholston's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs [ECF No. 3] is DENIED as moot.
- 4. Mr. Gholston is ordered to pay the unpaid balance—\$273.79—of this action's statutory filing fee in the manner pr escribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), and the

CASE 0:22-cv-01712-ECT-DJF Doc. 9 Filed 10/25/22 Page 1 of 2

2 Clerk of Court is ordered to provide notice of this requirement to the authorities at the institution where Mr. Gholston is now confined.

Gholston v. City of Minnesota et al

2022 | Cited 0 times | D. Minnesota | October 25, 2022

Date: October 25, 2022 s/ Eric C. Tostrud Eric C. Tostrud United States District Court

CASE 0:22-cv-01712-ECT-DJF Doc. 9 Filed 10/25/22 Page 2 of 2