



**Quintara Biosciences, Inc. v. Ruifeng Biztech Inc. et al.**

2021 | Cited 0 times | N.D. California | May 10, 2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

QUINTARA BIOSCIENCES, INC.,

Plaintiff, v. RUIFENG BIZTECH INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-04808-WHA (AGT)

ORDER RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE Re: Dkt. No. 116

Defendants have refused to provide plaintiff with the following discovery.

Discovery at Issue Requests

1 1.

-1 visa and green card involving

Ruifeng or Quintara.

RFP Nos. 20, 21, as

limited 2 2.

Subpoena to Henry R. immigration files related to

Subpoena to Hu 3 3.

Communications, invoices, purchase orders between Ruifeng and its customers and vendors involving DNA sequencing business.

RFP No. 23, as limited 4 4.



## Quintara Biosciences, Inc. v. Ruifeng Biztech Inc. et al.

2021 | Cited 0 times | N.D. California | May 10, 2021

Communications, invoices, purchase orders between RFB and its customers.

RFP No. 28, as

limited 5 5.

Communications among Defendants involving Ruifeng, Quintara or RF Biotech.

RFP No. 26

Each of the RFPs at issue, as well as the subpoena to Hu ( attorney) -secrets claim. Defendants have not convinced the Court that this discovery is proportional to the needs of the case. Nor have defendants persuaded the Court that, by requesting discovery related to , plaintiff is threatening . 3.10(a)

(formerly Rule 5-100(A)). Defendants and Hu 1

must produce the discovery at issue, by May 14, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 10, 2021

ALEX G. TSE United States Magistrate Judge

1 appeared in the case, but Hu is an attorney Hu Hu objects on grounds different from those articulated by Wang As those grounds are unpersuasive, Hu must comply with the subpoena.

