



Intlekofer v. Jones

2018 | Cited 0 times | D. Nevada | November 15, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

*** ROBERT J. INTLEKOFER,

Plaintiff, v. DARLENE JONES, Internal Revenue Service Agent,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:18-cv-00503-MMD-WGC

ORDER

Plaintiff Robert J. Intlekofer filed an emergency petition for temporary restraining fee, or submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis. (See ECF No. 1, 1-1.) The

Court allowed Plaintiff twenty-one days to file a complaint and pay the filing fee (or submit an in forma pauperis application). (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff has now filed the Complaint (ECF No. 5) and has paid the filing fee (ECF No. 4). However, Plaintiff has not yet filed proof of service as to any defendant with the Court. Plaintiff is instructed to serve Darlene Jones with a summons and copy of the Complaint and file proof of such service with the Court by February 12, 2019. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

The Court additionally advised Plaintiff that his TRO Motion did not comply with LR 7-4 regarding emergency motions. (ECF No. 3 at 2.) The Court further advised Plaintiff that he had twenty- submit a declaration that complies with Local Rule 7-4 (Id.) Plaintiff submitted a declaration with his Complaint (see ECF No. 5 at 11-12), but the

TRO Motion appears to be moot. In the TRO Motion, Plaintiff sought to enjoin the auction of certain real property (ECF No. 1-1 at 1-2), but the auction has now occurred (ECF No. 5 at 4). Accordingly, the Court will deny the TRO Motion as moot.

Plaintiff seems to seek additional injunctive relief in his Complaint. (See ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff is advised that he must file a separate document for each type of relief requested or purpose of the



Intlekofer v. Jones

2018 | Cited 0 times | D. Nevada | November 15, 2018

document. LR IC 2-2(b). Plaintiff must comply with this rule even if he is proceeding pro se and filing his papers physically rather than electronically. *Anoruo v. Valley Health Sys., LLC d/b/a Summerlin Hosp. and Med. Ctr.*, No. 2:18-cv- 00105-MMD-NJK, 2018 WL 5886536, at *4 (D. Nev. Nov. 9, 2018). Thus, to the extent Plaintiff continues to seek preliminary injunctive relief before final adjudication of this case, he must file a motion to obtain such preliminary relief rather than relying on his Complaint.

It is therefore ordered that order (ECF No. 1-1) is denied as moot.

DATED THIS 15 th

day of November 2018.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

