

DIMTER

2005 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | November 4, 2005

ORDER

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on the "Plaintiff's PositionStatement on Discovery Issues" (Document No. 83), filed October 20, 2005 by Grecon Dimter, Inc. ("Grecon"); and "Horner's BriefRegarding Grecon's Issues for Telephone Conference . . . "(Document No. 82), filed October 20, 2005 by Horner Flooring Co.,Inc. ("Horner Flooring"). More specifically, this matter is before the Court to address the third of three issues raisedduring telephone conferences held on October 21, 2005 — whether Horner Flooring must produce to Grecon a copy of a certain Germanlaw memorandum (the "Memorandum") it provided to one of its experts.

After in camera review of the Memorandum, the undersignedfinds that the Memorandum consists almost entirely of attorneyopinion work product and that redaction of the attorney opinionwork product is not a feasible alternative. The undersignedfurther concludes that — considering the Fourth Circuit's "steadfast" protection of the work product privilege and the textof the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as the absence of controlling authority to the contrary — "attorney opinion workproduct is to be protected against disclosure even when it has been considered by an expert witness in forming his opinions." Ladd Furniture, Inc. v. Ernst & Young, 1998 WL 1093901 (M.D.) Accordingly, the undersigned finds that HornerFlooring is not obligated to produce the Memorandum to Grecon andany motion by Grecon to compel such production is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.