



## Homeq Servicing Corporation F/K/A Tms Mortgage

2012 | Cited 0 times | Court of Appeals of South Carolina | July 11, 2012

THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOTá BEá CITEDá ORá RELIEDá ONá ASá PRECEDENTá INá ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

Unpublished Opinion

Submitted May 1, 2012

REMANDED

Jeanette Napier appeals the master-in-equity's order and judgment of foreclosure, arguing the master erred in (1) refusing to grant Napier's motion for a continuance, (2) calculating several fees and deficits in amending an earlier judgment against Napier, and (3) failing to reconsider its order.á We remand.<sup>1</sup>

We remand to the master for consideration of Napier's post-trial motion.á See Rule 59(e), SCRCPP ("A motion to alter or amend the judgment shall be served not later than 10 days after receipt of written notice of the entry of the order."); Rule 5(e), SCRCPP ("The filing of pleadings and other papers with the court as required by these rules shall be made by filing them with the clerk of the court, except that the judge may permit the papers to be filed with him, in which event he shall note thereon the filing date and forthwith transmit them to the office of the clerk."); Rule 59(g), SCRCPP ("A party filing a written motion under this rule shall provide a copy of the motion to the judge within ten (10) days after the filing of the motion.").<sup>2</sup>

REMANDED.

PIEPER, KONDUROS, and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

1. We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.

2. In light of our disposition herein, we decline to address Napier's remaining arguments. See *Futch v. McAllister Towing of Georgetown, Inc.*, 335 S.C. 598, 613, 518 S.E.2d 591, 598 (1999) (providing an appellate court need not address all issues on appeal when the disposition of one issue is dispositive).

