



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

SUPREME COURT OF STATE OF YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

JOSHUA EISEN,

CPLR Orders 202, 202.8, 202.15

CUOMO, GOVERNOR STATE OF YORK, PETER S. KOSINSKI SPANO, COMMISSIONERS,
YORK STA BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

.S.C. 54542/2020

Pe CPLR

DECISION ORDER

Seq.

CPLR

CPLR

Upon

State

United States

" candidate," FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 10/30/2020 08:49 AM INDEX NO.
54542/2020 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 19 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2020

1 of 5 THE NEW

-----x Application of



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

Petitioner,

For Judgment Pursuant to Article 78, Executive Law §28, Executive 202.2, 202.13, 202.14 and and Election Law Article 6,

-against-

ANDREW M. the of the NEW and (CO-CHAIR), and ANDREW J. constituting the NEW TE

Respondents. -----x

Hubert, J Index No.

Respondent's Motion to Dismiss ti ti on pursuant to §321 l(a)(?)

and

Motion No. 2

Before the Court is the motion by the above captioned Respondents to dismiss the petition of the Petitioner Joshua Eisen, pursuant to §321 l(a)(7). The petition before the Court seeks judgment pursuant to Article 78, Executive Law §28, Election Law Article 6 and various Executive Orders of the Respondent Governor Andrew Cuomo against the Respondents. review of all of the submissions of the parties, and upon review of the applicable laws, it is the Court's determination that the Defendants' motion to dismiss is granted.

The Petitioner is a citizen of the of New York and is in the process of seeking election to the Congress, New York District 17. He has sought appearance on the election ballot governing District 17 as an independent i.e., a candidate that has not been designated, nominated or supported by a major, established political party.

As permitted under New York Election Law Article 6, Mr. Eisen began the process of [* 1] (3,500), 2020 2020).



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

2020.

COVID-19

State

(EOs)

On 2020, EOs 202.12 "political calendar"

On 2020, 202.12

2020, 2020.

On 30, 2020, EO 202.46.

30, 2020.

70% FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 10/30/2020 08:49 AM INDEX NO. 54542/2020
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 19 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2020

2 of 5 acquiring in-person (original) signatures from registered voters on his own designating petitions.

As a prospective independent candidate he was required, by law, to collect a certain number of valid signatures within a specific time frame (April 14, to May 26, The signatures had to be written (originated), in ink, by the signing person. The filing of said petitions had to occur no later than May 26,

However, the pandemic out-break of the illness necessitated intervention by the New York Governor as to both petition signature totals and the time frame in which to acquire them. To handle the risk of disease exposure, to both registered voters and campaign workers who were tasked with collecting signatures, a series of executive orders were issued by the Governor.



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

March 29, and 13 modified the (the Board of

Election document of dates for execution of necessary campaign activities by prospective candidates). Circulation of designating petitions, and filing of same, was suspended and postponed at that time. April 7, the directives under Eos and 13 were extended to May 7, and then again to June 27,

June the Governor issued The new order opened the door to the resumption of signature collection, and extended filing time to July In addition the order cut the number of signatures needed by prospective independent candidates to get on the ballot. For nomination as an independent, the required number of petition signatures would be the lesser of either 3:3% of the total number of votes cast for governor at the last gubernatorial election, or a number equal to of the statutory minimum provided under subdivision 2 of section 6-142 of the election law.

2 [* 2] Petitioner State

See, 140

Petitioner

York State

United States

See, York

403 U.S. S.Ct 1970

Petition

Petitioner



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

Some

"derailed" FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 10/30/2020 08:49 AM INDEX NO. 54542/2020
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 19 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2020

3 of 5 The raises numerous complaints about the rules, as written by Legislators, that govern what steps an independent candidate must take to get on the ballot. For example, independent candidates are required to get more signatures on their designating petitions than their party supported competitors. They must also collect those signatures in a shorter time span. Election Law §§6-138 and (l)(a).

The Court will not spend significant time enumerating and discussing all of the rules that independent candidates must follow in order to get on the voting ballot. The himself acknowledges the fact that any claims (by an independent candidate) of a lack of equal protection under New election laws have been heard by the courts and rejected by the courts.

The Supreme Court has recognized that a state has a legitimate interest in limiting the names printed on a ballot to candidates who have demonstrated some degree of support. *Kuntz v. New State Senate*, 113 F.3d 326, 327 (2d Cir. 1997); *Jenness v. Fortson*, 431, 442, 91 (1971). Candidates who have won their party's primary have already demonstrated a substantial level of support, unlike independent candidates. *Kuntz*, supra at 328.

The situation that ultimately seems to have initiated the in this case is a situation no one saw coming, i.e, the COVID-19 pandemic. Lacking assistance from the major party (who had chosen to support other candidates) the put together his own group of supporters.



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

However, what was ultimately at the core of his concern was the fact that his staff was exposed to a person who was infected with COVID-19. of his staff came down with the virus.

The work in front of them was fraught with health risks and their ability to go out and

3 [* 3] Some

So So FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 10/30/2020 08:49 AM INDEX NO. 54542/2020
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 19 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2020

4 of 5 collect original signatures. 1

The solution pleaded by the Petitioner in his Petition was for the Court to order his desired use of electronic signatures on his nominating petitions. Representing a complete departure from firmly established statutory law, it's not at all clear how the accumulation of electronic signatures would have been accomplished: remotely? in quarantine? by e-mail or text message?'

of what is before the Court may be said to be interesting. However, it is mostly ironic. The Court takes judicial notice of the fact that the Petitioner, through effort, acquired the needed signatures and is on the same ballot as his competitors. Thus the entire venture set forth herein by the Petitioner is moot. The motion of the Defendants is granted, and the petition is dismissed. 3

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the motion of the Defendants in this matter is granted, and it is further ORDERED, that the Petitioner's petition is dismissed.

The foregoing constitutes the decision and order of the Court.



Eisen v Cuomo

2020 NY Slip Op 34357(U) (2020) | Cited 0 times | New York Supreme Court | October 29, 2020

1 In all fairness, the political party's candidate, who doubtlessly had more resources, likely had similar, if not identical, problems to those of the Petitioner.

/ 2 Not surprisingly, this deviation from the clear mandates of the election law, was to be the Petitioner's privilege exclusively. It was never so much as proposed that use of electronic signatures be extended to all candidates. Rules govern conduct. Conduct does not govern the rules. Convenience often makes life easier, It does not necessarily make it fair. 3 It is consistently argued by many that Courts do not (and should not) legislate. be it. However, the courts do enforce the laws. be that as well.

4 [* 4] Plains,

2020

u· FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 10/30/2020 08:49 AM INDEX NO. 54542/2020
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 19 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2020

5 of 5 Dated: White New York October 29,

James

5 [* 5]

