



## Taylor v. Plousis

101 F.Supp.2d 255 (2000) | Cited 30 times | D. New Jersey | June 20, 2000

HONORABLE JOSEPH E. IRENAS

### ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

IRENAS, District Judge

This matter having appeared before the Court upon the summary judgment motion of defendants County of Cape May, James T. Plousis, Jean Crean, Edward Letts, and Thomas Shagren, and the summary judgment motion of defendants Correctional Health Services, Inc., Dr. Larry Pettis, Dr. Angelique Beckett, and Mary Franks, and the Court having considered the submissions of the parties, for the reasons set forth in an Opinion issued by the Court, which findings of fact and conclusions of law are incorporated herein by reference, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS on this 20th day of June, 2000,

#### ORDERED THAT:

1. Defendants', County of Cape May, Plousis, Crean, Letts, and Shagren, motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant the County of Cape May;
2. Defendants', County of Cape May, Plousis, Crean, Letts, and Shagren, motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Crean;
3. Defendants', County of Cape May, Plousis, Crean, Letts, and Shagren, motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Letts;
4. Defendants', County of Cape May, Plousis, Crean, Letts, and Shagren, motion for summary judgment is DENIED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Shagren;
5. Defendants', Correctional Health Services, Inc., Dr. Pettis, Dr. Beckett, and Mary Franks, motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Correctional Health Services, Inc.;



## Taylor v. Plousis

101 F.Supp.2d 255 (2000) | Cited 30 times | D. New Jersey | June 20, 2000

6. Defendants', Correctional Health Services, Inc., Dr. Pettis, Dr. Beckett, and Mary Franks, motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Pettis;
7. Defendants', Correctional Health Services, Inc., Dr. Pettis, Dr. Beckett, and Mary Franks, motion for summary judgment is DENIED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Beckett;
8. Defendants', Correctional Health Services, Inc., Dr. Pettis, Dr. Beckett, and Mary Franks, motion for summary judgment is DENIED as to plaintiff's claims under § 1983 against defendant Franks;
9. The summary judgment motion of all defendants is GRANTED with respect to plaintiff's § 1983 claims based upon the October 7, 1997 assault;
10. Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief in his Amended Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;
11. Remaining in this case are plaintiff's claim under § 1983 against defendants Beckett, Shagren and Franks.

