



Doyle v. State

814 So.2d 1082 (2002) | Cited 0 times | District Court of Appeal of Florida | February 27, 2002

We affirm the order summarily denying Appellant's motion for post-conviction relief, seeking to vacate his conviction based on the alleged involuntariness of his plea. See *Stretcher v. State*, 27 Fla. L. Weekly D74, 2001 WL 1614138 (Fla. 4th DCA Dec. 19, 2001). As we did in *Stretcher*, we certify the same question certified in *Major v. State*, 790 So. 2d 550, 552 (Fla. 3d DCA 2001).

WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT OR COUNSEL HAVE A DUTY TO ADVISE A DEFENDANT THAT IS PLEA IN A PENDING CASE MAY HAVE SENTENCE ENHANCING CONSEQUENCES IF THE DEFENDANT COMMITS A NEW CRIME IN THE FUTURE?

FARMER, KLEIN and TAYLOR, JJ., concur.

