

## **United States of America v. Christopher Tino Colon**

2012 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | February 8, 2012

O AO 247 (NC/W 11/11) Order Regarding M otion for Sentence Reduction

USM No: 21560-058 Date of Original Judgment: August 7, 2007

Date of Last Amended Judgment: July 27, 2009)

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of 'the defendant TM the Director of the Bureau of Prisons TM the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

#### IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

' DENIED. TM GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of is reduced to

### I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE

(Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: 31 Amended Offense Level: 27 Criminal History Category: V Criminal History Category: V Original Guideline Range: 168 to 210 months Amended Guideline Range: 120 to 150 months

## II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

TM The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. TM The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. TM The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range. Other (explain): Denied due to the defendant's continued failure to abide by the law while incarcerated, and the extent of the reduction the defendant already received. Also, the defendant's refusal to abide by the Bureau of Prisons rules

# **United States of America v. Christopher Tino Colon**

2012 | Cited 0 times | W.D. North Carolina | February 8, 2012

and regulations warrants the denial of any discretionary sentencing relief, as he has not shown that he is willing to abide by the law.

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Re-entry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated August 7, 2007, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Effective Date:

(if different from order date)